

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF APENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Objective of the Study	4
1.4	Scope and Limitation of the Study	4

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	PAGE
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Soft Ground	7
2.3	Ground Improvement	9
	2.3.1 Precompression or Preloading Technique	10
	2.3.1.1 Types of Preloading	13
	2.3.1.2 Preloading Method	14
	2.3.2 Precompression and Vertical Drain	16
	2.3.2.1 Design of Vertical Drain	18
	2.3.3 Other Ground Improvement Method	26
	2.3.3.1 Mechanical Stabilization	26
	2.3.3.2 Chemical Stabilization	27
2.4	Consolidation	28
	2.4.1 One-Dimensional Consolidation Theory	29
	2.4.2 Compressibility Characteristics	29
	2.4.3 Rate of Consolidation	34
2.5	Settlement	37
	2.5.1 Immediate Settlement	37
	2.5.2 Primary Consolidation Settlement	39
	2.5.3 Secondary Compression Settlement or Creep	42
2.6	Settlement Prediction and Interpretation Method	44
	2.6.1 The Oedometer Test	44
	2.6.2 Cone Penetration Test (CPT)	47
	2.6.3 Observational Method	48
	2.6.3.1 Asoaka's Method	48
	2.6.3.2 Hyperbolic Method	51
2.7	Interpretation of Field and Laboratory Result for design Purpose	53
2.8	Instrumentation and Monitoring Program	57

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.9 Review of Previous Case Study

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

3.2 Data Acquisition

3.3 Data Analysis

4 CASE STUDY

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Subsurface Conditions

4.3 Soil Profile

4.4 Soil Characteristics

4.4.1 Zone A

4.4.2 Zone B

4.4.3 Zone C

4.5 Water Level

4.6 Soil Design Parameters

4.7 Construction Procedures

4.8 Instrumentation and Monitoring Program

4.9 Embankment Design

4.10 Acceptance Criteria

5	ANALISYS AND RESULTS	PAGE
5.1	Introduction	88
5.2	Magnitude of Settlement	90
5.2.1	Magnitude of Predicted Settlement without Soil Treatment	92
5.2.2	Magnitude of Predicted Settlement with Soil Treatment	93
5.3	Analysis of c_h Value Using Asoaka's Method	94
5.4	Back Calculated of Field Soil Characteristics	100
5.5	Correlation between Lab and Field Soil Characteristics	101
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1	Conclusions	105
6.2	Recommendations	107
	REFERENCES	108
	APPENDIX	112

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Outline for identification of soft ground	8
Table 2.2	Range of Possible Field Values of the Ratio k_h/k_v for Soft Clays (Rixner et al., 1986)	23
Table 2.3	Equations for the Compression Index from Different Sources (adopted from Bowles, 1988)	31
Table 2.4	Compression and recompression indexes of natural soil	32
Table 2.5	Typical value of Poison's ratio, ν	38
Table 2.6	Typical Values of C_α (after Ladd, 1967)	43
Table 2.7	Modified Time Factor (after Gue & Tan, 2000)	55
Table 2.8	Instruments Suitable for Consolidation Monitoring	60
Table 2.9	Summary of Predicted Settlement	63
Table 2.10	Comparison of Soil Properties before and after Improvement	65
Table 2.11	Comparison between Recorded Settlement at Site and Predicted From Laboratory Test Result (after Aw, 2001)	66
Table 2.12	Several Previous Findings from Different Researchers	66
Table 4.1	Generalized Soil Profile	76
Table 4.2	Soil Design Parameters at Zone A, B and C	79
Table 5.1	Expected Total Settlement Occurs After Construction	92
Table 5.2	Predicted Settlement and Time to achieve 90% Consolidation	94

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 5.3	Final Settlement and c_h Values	98
Table 5.4	Back Calculated Field Soil Characteristics	100
Table 5.5 (a)	The correlation of coefficients of vertical consolidation, c_v	102
Table 5.5 (b)	The correlation of coefficients of horizontal consolidation, c_h	102
Table 5.5 (c)	The correlation between c_h and c_v	102
Table 5.5 (d)	The correlation of coefficients of volume compressibility, m_v	103
Table 5.5 (e)	The correlation of compression index, C_c	103
Table 5.5 (f)	The correlation of recompression index, C_r	103
Table 5.5 (g)	The correlation of compression ratio, CR	103
Table 5.6	Comparison of Settlement and Time to Achieve 90% Consolidation	104

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Beneficial Effects of Preloading	12
Figure 2.2	Surcharge preloading is placed on top of a permanent load (proposed embankment)	13
Figure 2.3	Staged Loading	14
Figure 2.4	Comparison of Dissipation of Excess Pore Water Pressure for Projects with and without Installation of PVD	17
Figure 2.5	Installation Process of Prefabricated Vertical Drain	17
Figure 2.6	Example of Comparisons between Time Curves (Stamatopoulos & Kotzias, 1985)	18
Figure 2.7	Relationship of Drain Spacing (S) to Drain Influence Zone (D) (after Rixner <i>et al.</i> , 1986)	21
Figure 2.8	The Disturbed Zone around Mandrel (Rixner <i>et al.</i> , 1986)	24
Figure 2.9	Schematic of PVD with the resistance and soil disturbance (Rixner <i>et al.</i> , 1986)	25
Figure 2.10	Typical Shape of Vertical Drain	25
Figure 2.11	Plot of void ratio versus pressure in logarithmic scale	30
Figure 2.12	Consolidation curve for two-way vertical drainage (Hausmann, 1990)	36

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.13	Illustration of settlement of footing on elastic medium	39
Figure 2.14	Possible loading conditions for a (a) normally consolidated clay and an (b) over consolidated soil (after Das, 2004)	42
Figure 2.15	Consolidation Settlement	45
Figure 2.16	Asoaka Diagram	49
Figure 2.17	Asoaka's Graphical Method (after Tan and Gue, 2000)	50
Figure 2.18	Hyperbolic Method	51
Figure 2.19	Example of Hyperbolic Plot Method (Tan, 1996)	52
Figure 2.20	Example Defining Soil Layer and Interpreting Soil Names (after Dobis & Wong, 1990)	54
Figure 2.21	Normalized Pore pressure Dissipation Versus T^*	56
Figure 2.22	Typical Arrangement of Instrumentations for Monitoring Program	59
Figure 2.23	The Diagram of Open Well and Standpipe Piezometer	61
Figure 2.24	The Schematic of Pneumatic Piezometer	62
Figure 2.25	The settlement point is used to monitor settlement of soil under an embankment	62
Figure 2.26	The Principle of Inclinator Operation	62
Figure 2.27	Settlement plot with and without vertical drain	64
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the Research Methodology	68
Figure 4.1	The Topographical Map of Development Area	73
Figure 4.2	The Geological Map of Development Area	74
Figure 4.3	The Boreholes Location	76
Figure 4.4	Excavation of unsuitable material	80
Figure 4.5	Laying of Geotextile	81
Figure 4.6	Installation process for PVD	82
Figure 4.7	Installation of PVD	82
Figure 4.8	Cutting off vertical drain	83

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 4.9	Locations of Instrumentations	85
Figure 4.10	Locations of Ground Improvement Area	87
Figure 5.1(a)	Detail of Embankment and Subsoil Model for Zone A	89
Figure 5.1(b)	Detail of Embankment and Subsoil Model for Zone B	89
Figure 5.1(c)	Detail of Embankment and Subsoil Model for Zone C	90
Figure 5.2	Percentage Consolidation versus Time at Zone A, B & C	94
Figure 5.3	c_h Value versus Time Interval	95
Figure 5.4	Comparison of c_h Value from Different Method of Analysis	96
Figure 5.5 (a)	Predicted Settlement versus Time (Zone A)	97
Figure 5.5 (b)	Predicted Settlement versus Time (Zone B)	97
Figure 5.5 (c)	Predicted Settlement versus Time (Zone C)	97
Figure 5.6 (a)	Time Rate Settlement (Zone A)	98
Figure 5.6 (b)	Time Rate Settlement (Zone B)	99
Figure 5.6 (c)	Time Rate Settlement (Zone C)	99
Figure 5.7	Comparison of m_v Value from Different Method of Analysis	101

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	-	Width of Strips
a_v	-	Coefficient of Compressibility
BH	-	Borehole
B	-	Width
B, t	-	Thickness of Strips
C	-	Compressibility coefficient
CPT	-	Cone Penetration Test
$C_{a\varepsilon}$	-	Modified of Secondary Compression Index
C_α	-	Rate of Secondary Compression
C_c	-	Compression Index
c_h	-	Coefficient of consolidation in Horizontal Direction
CR	-	Compression Ratio
C_r	-	Recompression Index
C_u	-	Undrained Cohesion of Soil
c_v	-	Coefficient of Consolidation in Vertical Direction
d_w	-	Equivalent diameter of prefabricated vertical drain
E	-	Young Modulus
E_u	-	Undrained Modulus
e	-	Void ratio
e_0	-	Initial void ratio
e_1	-	Final void ratio
G	-	Shear Modulus

G_s	-	Specific Gravity
H	-	Thickness of the Compressible Layer
H_d	-	Length of Drainage Path
I_p, α	-	Influence Factor
I_p	-	Plasticity Index
I_r	-	Rigidity Index
k	-	Coefficient of Permeability
k_h	-	Horizontal Coefficient of Permeability
k_s	-	Permeability of Smeared Zone
k_v	-	Vertical Coefficient of Permeability
LL	-	Liquid Limit
n	-	Ratio of D/d
m_v	-	Coefficient of Volume Compressibility
OCR	-	Over-Consolidated Ratio
p	-	Pressure
PI	-	Plastic Index
PVD	-	Prefabricated Vertical Drains
q	-	Extra surcharge
q_c	-	Cone Resistant
q_u	-	Unconfined compression Strength
r	-	Radius
RR	-	Recompression Ratio
s	-	Drain Spacing
S_c	-	Primary Consolidation Settlement
S_i	-	Settlement Reading, Immediate Settlement
S_{i-1}	-	Preceding Settlement
S_f	-	Final Settlement
S_s	-	Secondary Compression Settlement or Creep
$SPT-N$	-	Value of Standard Penetration Test
s_u	-	Undrained shear strength
t	-	Time

t	-	Time of consolidation
t_f	-	Time of Interest for Secondary Consolidation
t_p	-	Time of The Beginning of Secondary Consolidation
T_h	-	Time factor for Horizontal Drainage
T_r	-	Time factor for Radial Drainage
T_v	-	Time Factor
UTHM	-	Universiti Teknologi Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia
U	-	Degree of Consolidation
U_e	-	Excess Pore Water Pressure
U_r	-	Average Degree of Consolidation Ratio for Radial Drainage
U_v	-	Average Degree of Consolidation Ratio for Vertical Drainage
U_{vr}	-	Average Degree of Consolidation Ratio for Combined Vertical and Radial Drainage
ν	-	Poisson's Ratio
W_L	-	Liquid Limit
W_n	-	Natural Water Content
z	-	Depth
α	-	Constant Which Depends on The Spacing Ratio of The Vertical Drain
Δe	-	Change in Void Ratio
Δp	-	Change in Stress
$\Delta \sigma'$	-	Change in Effective Stress
σ'_0	-	Initial Effective Stress or Existing Overburden Pressure
σ'_c	-	Pre-consolidation Pressure
γ_w	-	Water Unit Weight

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Prefabricated Vertical Drain Specification	112
Appendix B	Soil Characteristics at Zone A	113
Appendix C	Soil Characteristics at Zone B	116
Appendix D	Soil Characteristics at Zone C	119
Appendix E	Predicted settlement calculation Using One Dimensional Terzaghi's Analysis for Zone A, B and C	123
Appendix F	Calculation of Embankment Settlement	124
Appendix G	Consolidation Analysis Using Hansbo's Solution for Zone A, B and C	127
Appendix G2	Sample Calculation Consolidation Analysis Using Hansbo's Solution	130
Appendix H	Asoaka's Analysis for Zone A, B and C	133
Appendix I	Sample Calculation For Asoaka's Plot	135
Appendix J	Back calculated of Field Soil Characteristics	137